

CAB Evaluation of Meetings at Wash U on February 5 and 6, 2019

Which of the following best describes the organization for which you work?

#	Answer	Bar	Response	%
1	Local Health Department/Agency		17	62.96%
2	Community-based Organization		2	7.41%
3	Federal Government Agency		1	3.70%
4	National Organization		3	11.11%
5	University/Academia		2	7.41%
6	Health Care Organization		0	0.00%
7	Other		2	7.41%
	Total		27	100.00%

Other

State health dept.

Public Health Membership Association

Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements:

#	Question	Strongly agree	Agree	No strong Opinion	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Response
1	The quality of this meeting was excellent.	85.71%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	28
2	The length of the meeting was just right.	53.57%	39.29%	0.00%	3.57%	3.57%	28
3	The format of the meeting was appropriate.	71.43%	28.57%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	28
4	The right amount of time was spent on discussion.	32.14%	64.29%	0.00%	3.57%	0.00%	28
5	The right amount of time was spent on presenting information.	42.86%	50.00%	0.00%	7.14%	0.00%	28
6	The objectives of the meeting were achieved.	57.14%	39.29%	3.57%	0.00%	0.00%	28
7	My opinions or points were well-heard.	62.96%	29.63%	7.41%	0.00%	0.00%	27
8	I feel like a valued member of the ASPIRE Center.	59.26%	29.63%	11.11%	0.00%	0.00%	27
9	The meeting was beneficial for my program or organization.	78.57%	21.43%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	28
10	I now understand the ASPIRE Center's mission and work better.	85.71%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	28
11	The ASPIRE CAB will have a positive impact on research focused on retail tobacco control	85.71%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	28
12	The ASPIRE Center will have a positive impact on practice focused on retail tobacco control	81.48%	18.52%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	27

What were the 3 most important things you heard or learned at this meeting? Please explain.

Text Entry
the importance of science and thought around D&I, healthy reminder about the differences between states, preemption, local, etc., the industry response to policy change
Retail density and impact on tobacco use, impact of preemption after local policy advancement (and how to move past it) and fact sheets /infographics to assist with education local leaders
tobacco town and all the local level experiences
details of the three projects, local examples of policy work
more local evaluation seems to be going on then we know about at the national level - this needs to be better disseminated so that we can use it to support other policies, projects will tie policies to health impact and econ which is critical for policy makers
details of all 3 projects, that there's a role for collaborating state and national partners and research team outside of CAB, energy in cities
Issue of density of retailers and health outcomes, very real implications for current policy work. Issue related to sales bans/flavor bans and issues of marketing lessons learned. Tobacco town modeling - will be super helpful for policy makers.
density maps overlaid with SES a terrific case, ASPIre is focused on local impact
understanding of NCI grant would like to learn more, understanding awareness of local policy priorities, issues, opportunities, and ideas for potential opportunities to supplement this work
Policies to pursue, tools and strategies to push them, networking with real experts and resources for future advice
density options, evaluation ideas
Specific discussions related to best practices as it relates to flavors, tobacco agent model - had the ability to research policy, policy priorities, and implementation
learned a lot more about Aspire activities and goals, learned a lot about what was happening in other localities, so much to be learned from intersection of research, policy, law staff
all projects ASPIRe is understanding and potential involvement, concept flavors, ideas, concepts, lessons learned (flavors sessions)
what the study aims are and how they fit together, D and I plans, real-time policy work-unintended consequences, industry opposition, etc
more information on the 3 projects was very useful
three projects, love hearing whats going on with the country, like the focus on balance of research and practice
info on three projects, spotlight on local efforts for flavors
STEM market data research and San Francisco sotry
that the business/economic case will be looked at
details about each 3 projects, learning about the work in other communities, providing input into research
define adult only establishments, exposures of computerize localities, the opportunity to work with FDA
lots of networking, what are the aims of apshire and overview of the project, D and I segment to help keep the real users in mind for election, new terminology
how useful the research on retail density will be for more current work, who's who in the field of retail density, how to tap into available menthol sales data
the importance of anticipatory push back from retailers and tobacco companies, a better understanding of preemption, the lack of evaluation and why its important to evaluation tobacco control initiatives
getting into the details of tobacco retail density analysis hearing from all three researchers was illustrating, hearing stories from peers is always valuable. Some conferences are far to academic, some aren't specific enough. I find great value in getting to get into the weeds of policy with practitioners and researchers in the same room all speaking the same language

What are some specific topics or people you would like to see featured at future meetings?

Text Entry
Tobacco town, Kurts outcomes,
Exemptions and addressing potential threats roadblocks to advancement in tobacco control, and addressing the issue of tobacco control in a conservative state
How can the national organizations/TA providers in the CAB leverage this group and work together to further this work, influence research, etc.
what creative things that have been done with tobacco preempted states
I like the balance of theory, research, and real world examples, case studies, like discussions, implementation issues and unintended consequences. Need more data when available on Economic impacts/ business arguments for and against.
Retail policy in context of T21 and how to approach when protecting youth does not resonate with decision makers anymore
more on tobacco 21
Harm reduction research
-include more national advocacy partners who have a pulse on policy priorities and challenges across the country (ALA, ACS, AHA) to get more representative input and the ones doing the lobbying on these policies! -t21
learning
more practice/policy stories from cities, discussion of fed agencies research/practice agendas/dollars for localities/states, think about engaging other stakeholders besides program folks
Matrix of cities and existing policies and proposed policies - some type of side by side discussion of what retail policies exist in the 30 cities and proposed policies
minimum price
Lawson study, examples of land use policies which are compatible with location based retail policy
progress against the Aims
Grassroots advocacy groups. Anyone that helps us do local tobacco control better.
treatment of zero-nicotine and synthetic e-liquid and flavor bans, vape stores
tools for public health to assess the impact of tobacco and high risk populations (low income, non-native, minors, African Americans, older adult) and advice for figuring out local/county/state preemption
Projects or programs with useful policy adaption
More info on retail. Really enjoyed MN Retail meeting and presentation on consumer behavior in the retail environment
Public Health Law Center and Public Health Law Network, what resources they can offer local and state organizations. Institute of Forensic Science speaker regarding self-manufacturing of Juul type devices
research results on policies being implemented and agent based modeling research results
how tobacco industry playbook and tobacco control framework can/will influence marijuana legalization

What, if anything, would you change about the format or structure of future meetings?

Text Entry

You could always mix it up and do both small groups along with large groups

n/a

Share specific asks for CAB members before/at the beginning of the meeting (More time to process)

More small group discussion, incorporate some physical movement

more time in a session to talk with CAB

Make it longer.

n/a appreciated the balance of presentations and discussions

more time for conversation and questions

Nothing - good mix, well run

Great format - broke up the presentation and discussion components effectively

nothing

Provide examples of materials, policies used in practice and their strengths and weaknesses

a few more tables and chairs

Nothing.

longer

Round tables are difficult of all day meetings (hard on back and neck) and for the tobacco non-experts like me I would have liked a little guidance in what to look up before meeting to have better preparation

I would have loved more time for sharing policy strategies (community engagement and implementation/evaluation (local)) There is so much wisdom here but we didn't tap into it as well as we could have

At least 2 days full, more topics of case study/policy to application

Meeting was great!

Have the affinity group discussion occur at a time where most attendees are already present and can switch tables for their topic of choice.

In general, how could we make future meetings better and more useful for you and your organization?

Text Entry

I would have appreciate more info before the meeting with more detail on the research projects.

See above

Could expand time together as budget allows

n/a

?

Survey participants before meeting about policy priorities and challenges before the meeting so you can tailor the topics accordingly, work with national partners who have established means of disseminating info and priorities to the local level

Great meeting, very interesting and informative. More opportunity for city/ county to city discussions about best practices and lessons learned

an extra couple hours

Provide slides and handouts of presenters ahead of time

Slides were hard to read, increase font size

Invite back those of us who might not be part of the CAB but connected at the community level so we can help with disseminating findings.

longer-more opportunities for unstructured time to converse with others, potential meeting of CDC, FDA, and Aspire leadership to debrief, etc.

For those of use with no law background and less than helpful local DAs, how to navigate existing law in our city, county, state jurisdiction

Strategically arrange around retail policy and health equity and program adoption

Allow more time for those doing the community-involved work to present to encourage more discussion and learning opportunities

Unfortunately we are banned from traveling to NC, so I would not be able to go to any meetings at UNC

Text Entry

Thank you for a wonderful meeting!

just a copy of the slides, it will help explain/communicate to internal stakeholders

Looking forward to the website going live and having access to policy briefs. Would like to access powerpoints

As a person with hearing loss, I really appreciated the use of microphones :d

n/a

Please let us know when the slides are available.

More info needed about the vape shops/online landscape which isn't capture by Nielsen data - will any of the projects address this?

I'm still a little unclear about tobacco town.

Reach out to Chrissie re: Houston

How are cities of tobacco town project going to be selected?

Would like copies of slides and a resource page with webinars and call access to learn more.

Where are the funds for Aspire coming from?

Not clear who/how to contact appropriate folks representing the three different projects.

This meeting was amazing! Very well done and organized. I learned so much and networked more than usual. (You make me really miss working with academics!)

Everyone was so warm friendly and helpful

Presentations were great!

n/a